# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

V.

6:15-CR-06055 EAW

ROBERT L. SWINTON,

Defendant.

Following a jury trial, Defendant Robert L. Swinton, Jr. ("Defendant"), who appeared *pro se* with standby counsel, was convicted of the following four offenses: (1) possession of cocaine with intent to distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C), and 18 U.S.C. § 2; (2) use of premises to manufacture, distribute, and use controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 856(a)(1), and 18 U.S.C. § 2; (3) possession of firearms in furtherance of drug trafficking crimes, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i) and 18 U.S.C. § 2; and (4) possession of firearms and ammunition by a convicted felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). (Dkt. 180; Dkt. 181).

On December 20, 2017, the Court sentenced Defendant to 270 months of imprisonment, followed by six years of supervised release. (Dkt. 217 at 3-4). The Court also ordered Defendant to pay a fine of \$400 and a special assessment of \$400. (*Id.* at 7). Judgment was entered on December 28, 2017. (*See* Dkt. 217). Defendant timely filed a notice of appeal on January 2, 2018. (Dkt. 218). Defendant's appeal remains pending. (*See* No. 18-101 (2d Cir. Jan. 2, 2018)).

Presently before the Court is a motion filed by Defendant for "Correction of The Record, pursuant to FRAP 10(e)(1)." (Dkt. 283). Specifically, Defendant contends that witness Danielle Bowen was asked certain questions and gave certain answers that are not reflected in the trial transcript. (*Id.* at 1). In addition, Defendant contends that "there are multiple jumbled 'misprints' that are capatolized [sic] lettering that makes no sense" and that the context of the conversations in trial were changed. (*Id.* at 1-2). In support of his motion, Defendant references the following pages of the trial transcript: pages 249-51, 361, 382, 396, 403, and 425-29. (*Id.* at 2). A copy of those pages from the trial transcript is attached to this Decision and Order.

Defendant makes his motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 10(e), which governs correction or modification of the record on appeal. Under Rule 10(e)(1), "[i]f any difference arises about whether the record truly discloses what occurred in the district court, the difference must be submitted to and settled by that court and the record conformed accordingly." Fed. R. App. P. 10(e)(1). Under Rule 10(e)(2)(B), "[i]f anything material to either party is omitted from or misstated in the record by error or accident, the omission or misstatement may be corrected and a supplemental record may be certified and forwarded... by the district court before or after the record has been forwarded[.]" Fed. R. App. P. 10(e)(2)(B). "[T]he movant in a Rule 10(e) motion 'must demonstrate that the evidence to be supplemented was before the lower court in the course of its proceedings leading to the judgment under review and was mistakenly omitted from the record." *Natofsky v. City of New York*, No. 14 Civ. 5498 (NRB), 2018 WL 741678, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y.

Jan. 23, 2018) (quoting Miro v. Plumbers & Pipefitters Nat'l Pension Fund, No. 01 CV

5196(HB), 2002 WL 31357702, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 17, 2002)).

Pursuant to statute, a transcript certified by a qualified court reporter (like the trial

transcript) is "deemed prima facie a correct statement of the testimony taken and

proceedings had." 28 U.S.C. § 753. It is the burden of the party seeking correction of the

transcript to provide "clear evidence" of the claimed error. See United States v. DiPietro,

No. 02 Cr. 1237(SWK), 2007 WL 2164262, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. July 25, 2007). A motion to

correct and/or modify a transcript must do "far more than state that a transcript does not

comport with the recollection of . . . the movant[.]" United States v. Zichettello, 208 F.3d

72, 97 n.11 (2d Cir. 2000). Here, Defendant contends that the transcript does not comport

with his recollection of what was said during the relevant portions of the trial. However,

Defendant has failed to overcome the statutory presumption of accuracy. Moreover, the

Court has reviewed the referenced pages from the trial transcript and there do not appear

to be any errors or, as Defendant claims, "jumbled misprints." Finally, the Court has

conferred with the court reporter concerning the subject pages, and based upon the court

reporter's review of the pages against her transcription notes, she has confirmed that the

pages were accurately transcribed.

Accordingly, Defendant's Motion for "Correction of The Record, pursuant to FRAP

10(e)(1)" (Dkt. 283) is denied.

SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

Dated: February 20, 2019

Rochester, New York

- 3 -

Cas	e 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 24 of 205
	US v. Swinton, Jr 15-CR-6055
9:32:49AM 1	THE COURT: Yeah, it's August of 2012.
2	MR. SWINTON: Yes, ma'am this happened in August of
3	2012.
4	THE COURT: What happened as far as you
9:32:54AM 5	MR. SWINTON: Basically she's okay, basically
6	when they try to put me with somebody else, he was in a whole
7	another state, that's the thing, Jit.
8	THE COURT: Who was?
9	MR. SWINTON: Jit. This is where the Jit/David
9:33:07AM 10	Jones thing came at. Jit was in a whole 'nother city with
11	her.
12	THE COURT: In Pennsylvania?
13	MR. SWINTON: No, they wasn't in Pennsylvania.
1,4	They was in Elmira. They were driving to Pennsylvania to
9:33:18AM 15	take her to work. She was working in an exotic bar. So
16	that's what happened. When they drove her to work, they
17	ended up getting into a high speed chase in my car. Jit had
18	taken my car a week or so earlier and basically we was having
19	a discrepancy. I couldn't get to Elmira to get my car back.
9:33:31AM 20	He took my car and ran off with her, so.
21	THE COURT: Was she arrested?
22	MR. SWINTON: Yes, she was arrested.
23	MR. MOYNIHAN: She was never convicted of anything.
24	MR. SWINTON: She was released.
9:33:41AM 25	MR. MOYNIHAN: Right.

Cod	- 9 15 09055 E 414/ 144/D - D 070 - E1 10/10/10 - D 05 ( 005
Cas	e 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 25 of 205 250
	US v. Swinton, Jr 15-CR-6055
9:33:41AM 1	MR. SWINTON: I was the one who had to pick her up
2	from the trooper barracks because I went down there and got
3	my car back.
4	MR. MOYNIHAN: Well, I think I think his cousin
9:33:51AM 5	was the one who was convicted and ultimately went to prison
6	in Pennsylvania.
7	THE COURT: Whose cousin?
8	MR. SWINTON: Technically he's not my cousin.
9	THE COURT: This is Jit?
9:34:02AM 10	MR. MOYNIHAN: This is Jit.
11	THE COURT: That's her boyfriend, Jit, or was at
12	the time?
13	MR. MOYNIHAN: It was her boyfriend. I think she
14	testified that she was dating Jit.
9:34:09AM 15	MR. SWINTON: Just for purposes, let's just say
16	that, yeah, yeah.
17	THE COURT: Well, it's her she had some kind of
18	relationship with him.
19	MR. SWINTON: Yes.
9:34:19AM 20	THE COURT: Well, I mean, are you trying, are you
21	trying to get into evidence whether or not she fled the
22	police? I mean, she wasn't driving the motor vehicle, right?
23	MR. SWINTON: Yeah, they still wasn't apprehended
24	till the next day. They all ran out jumped out of the
9:34:35AM 25	vehicle and left my car

	base 0.15 of 00055 EAW MW Document 204 Thea 02/20/15 Tage 0 0/15
(	Case 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 26 of 205 251
	US v. Swinton, Jr 15-CR-6055
9:34:35AM 1	MR. MOYNIHAN: I think she did actually jump out of
2	the vehicle. I think she did exit vehicle and
3	THE COURT: I mean, you know, I'm allowing it
4	because I do think it goes to truthfulness. The alleged
9:34:46AM 5	fleeing from Georgia, so this kind of fits with
6	MR. MOYNIHAN: With that.
7	THE COURT: with that, as well. So, I'm going
8	to allow you some leeway on this, but if you want to object
9	as we get into the questions, I may sustain an objection.
9:35:02AM 10	MR. MOYNIHAN: Okay.
11	THE COURT: Because I don't want to get into too
12	much of a collateral issue, you know.
13	MR. SWINTON: Okay.
14	THE COURT: But let's see where it goes, all right.
9:35:10AM 15	MR. MOYNIHAN: Thank you, Judge.
16	(Open court:)
17	THE COURT: All right. I think Mr. Moynihan
18	withdrew his objection to last question. So maybe we could
19	have it read.
9:35:53AM 20	THE COURT REPORTER: What happened with the stop in
21	Pennsylvania on the 15th?
22	A We got pulled over by the police and Jit tried
23	to use his brother's name and it didn't work. He pulled off
24	from them. We went around the corner, me and him and Cassie.
9:36:06AM 25	We ran from the police and he got in contact with you guys

## Case 6.15-cr-08055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 138 of 205

361

McDonald - Cross - Swinton

12:23:56PM 1 he personally observed and the question was whether or not he 2 saw Jones. He said no. And he said, well, who's the first person you saw. He said, in sum and substance, you're the 3 4 first person I saw which that's not inconsistent with what's 12:24:09PM 5 in the report. THE COURT: So your objection is that it's not an 6 7 inconsistent statement? 8 MS. HARTFORD: Correct. 9 THE COURT: I overrule that objection. It's within 12:24:19PM 10 the Court's discretion as to whether or not something's inconsistent and, quite frankly, I think it is somewhat 11 12 inconsistent. So, Exhibit 400 will come into evidence, just to the extent, though, that it contains that one sentence. 13 The rest of that report can't come in. So, before this is 14 published to the jury, we're going to have to redact and you 12:24:38PM 15 can get Mr. Tallon's assistance with that. You can redact 16 17 any of the other narrative on it. MR. SWINTON: I can't read it into evidence, your 18 19 Honor? 12:24:50PM 20 THE COURT: No, you can read -- it's in evidence now, so you can ask him about this statement. 21 22 MR. SWINTON: Okay. Judge, how would you like --23 MR. TALLON: 24 MR. MOYNIHAN: Judge --

MR. TALLON: -- it redacted? Would you want the

12:25:01PM 25

	Case	e 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 157 of 205
		382 Bernabei - Direct - Moynihan
		-
12:49:07PM	1	secure it?
	2	A It is placed like the magazine and the
	3	rifle are placed in an area of the house near what's, what
	4	has all my things that I utilize when I'm collecting
12:49:22PM	5	evidence, such as, you know, I need zip ties to zip tie the
	6	weapons to make sure they're, you know, locked open. It has
	7	more bags. It has field testers for the drugs that we may
	8	find. So, anyway, I take the evidence and I put it all in
	9	back which is in a safe location not near any suspects or
12:49:44PM	10	people who are in the house.
	11	Q Once you assume custody of that, does anybody
	12	else have custody of it?
	13	A No.
	14	Q And in terms of this rifle and the ammunition
12:49:52PM	15	that is displayed in Government's Exhibit Number 21, did you
	16	assume custody of that item from the scene?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And did you maintain custody of that item
	19	while it was at the scene and the rest of the search was
12:50:05PM	20	being conducted?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Once, once there came a point in time when
	23	the search was concluded?
	24	A Correct.
12:50:15PM	25	Q Did you leave the location?

	Case	e 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 171 of 205
		Bernabei - Direct - Moynihan
1:05:29PM	1	THE WITNESS: That is accurate, yes.
	2	THE COURT: Thank you.
	3	Q Now, did you examine, did you examine the
	4	revolver while you were at the scene to identify it in any
1:05:43PM	5	way?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And tell us what you remember about the
	8	description of the revolver.
	9	A It was a .357 Magnum revolver loaded with six
1:05:55PM	10	rounds of ammunition. It had a serial number.
	11	Q Do you recall what that serial number is?
	12	A No.
	13	Q Would you report refresh your memory?
	14	A Yes.
1:06:04PM	15	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked
	16	Government's Exhibit Number 101. Please take a look at that.
	17	That's your report, right?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Would that refresh your memory?
1:06:14PM	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Please read it and look up.
	22	A (Indicating.)
	23	Q Is your recollection refreshed?
	24	A Yes.
1:06:20PM	25	Q I'll take that back, thank you. What was the

	Cas	e 8.15-cr-08055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 178 of 205
		Bernabei – Direct – Moynihan
1:16:08PM	1	plastic ziplock baggies.
	2	Q Are you able to say whether or not that was
	3	the jacket that was located or that your attention was
	4	directed to near the sofa?
1:16:22PM	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And was that the
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Okay. I'm going to place on the visualizer
	9	what's been received as Government's Exhibit 104. Please
1:16:31PM	10	take a look at that and tell us if you know what that
	11	depicts?
	12	A That shows a sifter and a spoon. And I
	13	believe they were found underneath the sofa.
	14	Q That was my next question. Your attention was
1:16:43PM	15	directed to those particular items, is that correct?
	16	A Correct.
	17	Q And you then photographed them?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q You then collected them from that location?
1:16:50PM	20	A Yes, I did.
	21	Q Did you maintain custody of those items from
	22	the time you took them after the photograph and while they
	23	were at the scene?
	24	A Yes.
1:17:01PM	25	Q Did you remove those items from the scene?

### Cas # 6.15-cr-08055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 200 of 205

425

US v. Swinton - 15-CR-6055

1:46:25PM 1 function here. However, we will, because of these issues 2 that Mr. Swinton has raised with respect to the difference between 5.56 rounds and .223 rounds confirm before he 3 4 testifies that, in fact, he is identifying some of the rounds 1:46:40PM 5 as 5.56 rounds and some of the two rounds as .223 rounds consistent with his report and, again, make sure that he has 6 7 any tools with him that he needs to be able to distinguish 8 that. 9 MR. SWINTON: Okay, Judge, if you might, can --1:46:53PM 10 has, Mr. Moynihan, have you heard anything about the Touhy issues? 11 MR. MOYNIHAN: I haven't heard. I've only been 12 copied in on communications from Mr. Tallon. I understand 13 that he probably is the point person. He's communicated with 14 Matthew Myerson (phonetic). I did talk with Ms. Smith of my 1:47:07PM 15 16 office generally about this. THE COURT: Ms. Smith? 17 MR. MOYNIHAN: Kathryn Smith who kind of runs the 18 Touhy issues but it's -- I mean.... 19 THE COURT: Have you heard anything, Mr. Tallon? 1:47:20PM 20 21 MR. TALLON: My legal assistant sent me an email this morning where Mr. Myerson, in response to the letter 22 that the Court and Mr. Moynihan and Ms. Hartford have, said, 23 I've communicated with Mr. Moynihan and, basically, I think 24 he's carrying the ball. So, I mentioned this to Mr. Moynihan 1:47:43PM 25

### Cas **¢** 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 201 of 205

426

US v. Swinton - 15-CR-6055

1:47:47PM 1 knowing that he's very busy, and maybe I just want to raise 2 it because we're coming on to Friday and I'm thinking we 3 should probably have some clarification from ATFE on this 4 one. 1:48:02PM 5 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going request, Mr. Moynihan, 6 that you consult with -- is it Mr. Myerson. 7 MR. TALLON: Myerson, yes. THE COURT: And tomorrow morning give us an answer 8 9 one way or the other whether there's going to be an issue. 1:48:15PM 10 THE COURT: Are you waiting to serve these subpoenas until you get a response? 11 12 MR. SWINTON: We're going to go forward with that process and I've advised Special Agent Martineck and asked if 13 he could contact special agent Clark. I've spoken with the 14 investigator that has been appointed on behalf of Mr. Swinton 1:48:29PM 15 and he will carry those subpoenas over to ATFE and serve them 16 17 and, hopefully, we'll then be able to clarify whether there's any objection from ATF based on the Touhy regulations. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Moynihan will also 19 1:48:47PM 20 communicate that to us tomorrow morning, right? 21 MR. MOYNIHAN: I will look into it, Judge and --22 MR. MOYNIHAN: Let me put it this way: Mr. Myerson's going to have to be here if you don't have an 23 answer tomorrow morning, okay? I mean, I'll issue an order. 24 1:49:01PM 25 Is he local?

#### Cas**¢** 6.15-cr-06055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 202 of 205 427 US v. Swinton - 15-CR-6055 1:49:02PM MR. MOYNIHAN: No, he's actually in New York City. 2 THE COURT: Well, we'll get somebody here if you're 3 not able to get an answer, okay, because what I don't want to 4 have happening is so-called red tape --1:49:15PM 5 MR. MOYNIHAN: Understood. THE COURT: -- delaying things here, especially 6 7 these two witnesses were on the government's witness list. MR. MOYNIHAN: Sure. 8 9 THE COURT: And presumably there wouldn't have been 1:49:25PM 10 any issue if the government was calling them. So I wouldn't expect there be an issue if Mr. Swinton wants to call them, 11 12 either. 13 MR. TALLON: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: You're welcome. Let met just make 14 1:49:38PM 15 So we'll stop at 1 o'clock tomorrow. We'll start at 9 sure. I want to -- it seems as though the government's 16 o'clock. 17 making pretty good progress here, right. MR. MOYNIHAN: I think so, Judge. I think we'll 18 probably finish Monday. 19 1:49:50PM 20 THE COURT: That's what I was thinking, as well, and, so, in total how many witnesses -- you don't have to 21 22 tell me right now. Okay. 23 MR. SWINTON:

24

1:50:00PM 25

THE COURT: But how many witnesses, if you want to

think about it overnight, Mr. Swinton, but I think maybe be

#### Cas # 8.15-cr-08055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 203 of 205

428

US v. Swinton - 15-CR-6055

1:50:05PM 1 able to give me some kind of ballpark estimate as to how long 2 you think your case is going to be. I think you probably have to be ready to go starting Monday at some point. And 3 then, I don't know, do you have an estimate at this point as 1:50:22PM to how long you think your case will be. 5 MR. SWINTON: Judge, I don't see it being over a 6 day, day and a half. 7 THE COURT: So it could be that we'll wrap up with 8 9 all the proof by noon on Wednesday. 1:50:36PM 10 MR. SWINTON: Yes. THE COURT: And we won't need a full day on 11 Wednesday and then we could do our charge conference 12 Wednesday afternoon and do closings on Thursday. 13 MR. TALLON: Judge, Mr. Swinton says I can address 14 the Court on this. We have served a number of civilian and 1:50:53PM 15 law enforcement witnesses as of now. They are on standby. I 16 have had direct communication with many of them and what I'm 17 anticipating is, because he can't do it, is get a sense of 18 when we're going to do the defense case in chief, let those 19 folks know, law enforcement and otherwise, and then have 1:51:22PM 20 Investigator Gerber or Siena who have been appointed more or 21 22 less take care of -- shepherding these folks into this courtroom and babysitting them, if that's the word for them, 23 until they are actually called as a witness in the defense 24

case in chief. That's what I envision. So, the real issue

1:51:43PM 25

	Case	e 8.15-cr-08055-EAW-MWP Document 278 Filed 10/12/18 Page 204 of 205
		US v. Swinton - 15-CR-6055
1:51:47PM	1	is the timing. When do I start asking them to be here and
	2	we'll have clarification, I think, tomorrow.
	3	THE COURT: I agree, I think after we finish up
	4	tomorrow, we'll know, in all likelihood, when the government
1:51:58PM	5	will rest and when the defense will need to be ready to go.
	6	MR. TALLON: Thank you.
	7	MR. SWINTON: Thank you.
	8	THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
	9	government that we need to deal with?
1:52:07PM	10	MR. MOYNIHAN: No, Judge.
	11	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Swinton, that we
	12	need to deal with today?
	13	MR. SWINTON: No, Judge.
	14	THE COURT: All right. Everybody have a good
1:52:14PM	15	afternoon and we'll see you tomorrow.
	16	MR. MOYNIHAN: Thank you.
	17	THE COURT: Thank you.
	18	(WHEREUPON, proceedings were adjourned.)
	19	
1:52:24PM	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	·